Exhibit 14-E Report of Investigation

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

(Name of LOCAL AGENCY)

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

COUN	ТҮ		ROUTE	POST MILE	PROJECT #	
	F 1 4:134					
N	Fed. Aid No. Notice to Owner No.					
1	Utility Owner					
Impa	acted Utility Facility					
•	ort of Investigation is subm		• •	0 11	ort documents are attac	
ipprova.	of Titwit Specific Hadio		, not in request	.cu.		
	A copy of the Utility Reloc ROW Manual.	cation Plan as d	escribed in Sec	tions 13.05.03.00	and 13.05.03.01 of the	
	A copy of the owner's liability claim letter.					
	A copy of the owner's estimate of cost.					
	A copy of the proposed Utility Agreement.					
\Box A	A copy of the proposed Notice To Owner.					
\Box	A copy of the owner's prior rights claim supporting documentation.					
. PR	ROJECT LOCATION AN	D DESCRIPT	ION:			
. LI	ABILITY DATA:					
A.	PROJECT IS AN:		"On-System" "Off-System"			
В.	DATES:		Other			
	Route adoption:	Dd/mm/yyyy	(if applicable)			
	Freeway resolution:	Dd/mm/yyyy	(if applicable)			
	Appraisal map:	Dd/mm/yyyy	(if applicable)			
	Installation of Existing Facilities:	Dd/mm/yyyy				

C.		ıblic rivate	
D.	 EXISTING UTILITY FACILITY IS LOCATED: 1) In existing State Highway right of way? 2) On other public way, i.e., city street? 3) On private property? 4) Intersecting installation? 5) Longitudinal installation? 	Yes	No
E.	UTILITY OWNER'S AUTHORITY FOR INSTALLATION: 1) Fee-owned land 2) Recorded easement 3) Unrecorded easement 4) Prescriptive right 5) Joint Use Agreement (JUA) or Consent to Common Use Agreement (CC 6) Franchise 7) State permit 8) County permit 9) City permit 10) Joint Pole Agreement* 11) Other (describe below)	CUA)	
F.	Yes No ☐ Is the owner's authority for installation based on a deed (item E.1) above)? If YES, the preparer of this Report of Investigation her/his ability: ☐ The deed has been read. ☐ The description has been accurately plotted and is clearly shown of ☐ The title has been investigated and that: ☐ The grantor was the owner as of the date on the deed. ☐ The owner's facilities are located within the area described in the ☐ The public agency has prior rights to the area described in the deed which the state will be the suggestor in interest. If YES, show the	on the a deed.	that to the best of attached plan.
	which the state will be the successor in interest. If YES , show the their rights and explain the nature of those rights here or in the na		

Page 14-22 September 28, 2007 LPP 07-04

	Yes No
G.	Are there contractual obligations contained in the documents checked in item E. above that require the owner to relocate, or are there special conditions in the owner's authority for installation?
	If YES , explain here in narrative:
H.	LIABILITY RECOMMENDATION IS BASED ON (check all that apply):
	Section of the Streets and Highway Code
	Section of the Master Contract, dated
	☐ Water Code Section 7034
	☐ Water Code Section 7035
	Prior and superior rights of the Utility Owner
	Other, explain:
I.	LIABILITY APPORTIONMENT:
	Agency
	Explain apportionment:
RE	LOCATION PLAN DETAILS:
A.	IT IS ANTICIPATED THE UTILITY WORK WILL BE COMPLETED BY:
	Prior/concurrent/after construction (dd/mm/yyyy).
B.	ENCROACHMENTS:
	☐ There will be no encroachments within the project area.
	There are encroachments and :

3.

		Yes No All new or existing encroachments comply with current agency's Policy. If "NO," a copy of the letter approving the exception to the encroachment policy is attached.			
		☐ The Caltrans standard Encroachment Permit will be or has been issued. If "NO," explain in narrative.			
	C.	LUMP SUM:			
		Yes No The lump sum payment method will be used. If "YES," a detailed and itemized estimate is attached.			
	D.	THIS RELOCATION WILL BE FUNDED WITH:			
		Yes No Agency funds only.			
		Federal participation in the funding.			
4.	THI	E WORK WILL BE PERFORMED BY: (check those which apply)			
		The utility owner's forces: The district has determined this is cost-effective and has verified the owner is qualified to perform the work in a satisfactory manner with its own personnel and equipment.			
		The utility owner's continuing contractor: The district has determined this is cost-effective and verified the contract between the owner and the contractor is in writing and that similar work is regularly performed for the owner under the contract at reasonable costs.			
		Competitive bid contract: The owner is not adequately staffed or equipped to perform the work with its own forces. The district will verify that the utility owner will award the contract for the work to the lowest qualified responsible bidder based on an appropriate solicitation			
		State's highway contractor: The utility work is to be included in state's highway construction contract. The district has determined this is the most cost-effective method.			
5.	REV	VIEW OF ESTIMATE:			
	Dep: Salv	reciation: \$			
6.	ВЕТ	TTERMENT:			
		There is no betterment. Betterment in the amount of \$ has been identified for:			

Page 14-24 September 28, 2007

7.	CERTIFICATI	ION:			
	Yes No	project engineer ha	as certified that the rel	ocation plan will clear the project.	
	cost	e-effective plan to		oposed relocation and has determined it is a the utility owner's operating facilities that	
8.	ATTACH A NARRATIVE DISCUSSION OF THE PROPOSED UTILITY WORK TO THIS REPORT WHICH INCLUDES:				
	B. DiscussionC. DescriptionD. Property rig	of owner's authority and justification or ghts needed.	facility and its location ty for installation of the of proposed relocation the relocation, documen	e existing utility facility. plan.	
9. THE ESTIMATED COST TO THE PROJECT IS AS FOLLOWS:				FOLLOWS:	
	Consisting of D	esign funds:	\$		
	Consisting of Co	onstruction funds:	\$		
	Consisting of Rands:	ight of Way	\$		
		Total:	\$		
Prep	pared By:				
				Date:	
	Utility (Coordinator			
	roval				
Kec	ommended:By:	····		Date:	
	Age	ency Director			

Distribution: 1) Utility Coordinator, 2) DLAE – File, 3) District Utility Coordinator - File

This page intentionally left blank